

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/002,180	12/05/2001	Amir Satran	10236-039	3617
26158 75	90 06/24/2005		EXAMINER	
WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC			PETERSON, KENNETH E	
P.O. BOX 7037				
ATLANTA, GA 30357-0037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3724	
		DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		€			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/002,180	SATRAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kenneth E. Peterson	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 June 2005</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8,12-15,18-20 and 23-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 26</u> is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>9,11,16,17,21,22 and 27</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	` '			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received				
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority document		on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
application from the International Bureau		a this reasonal Otago			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,,	d.			
		- -			

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,180 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 9,11,16,17,21,22 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satran '670 who shows in figures 3a,4a and 4b a cutting disk (22) having pockets (23). Mounted in said pockets are indexable inserts (1) having all of the recited faces and cutting edges. Each of the inserts symmetrically overhangs the disk, as seen in figures 4a and 4b. Examiner notes that all of the component side surfaces are not identical, but this is not claimed.

Satran's inserts contact the pocket rear along a surface, as opposed to two spaced abutments. Examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known to employ two spaced apart abutments to properly align a cutting insert. An example of this is seen in Lundblad '366 (read column 1 for Lundblad's motivation). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Satran by having the cutting insert contact the rear pocket surface at two spaced apart abutments, as is well known and taught by Lundblad, in order to more accurately align the cutting insert.

In regards to claim 9, Satran's insert is screw-hole mounted to the pocket rear, instead of to the pocket base. Examiner takes Official Notice that it is a well known equivalent to screw-hole mount to the pocket base instead of the pocket rear. An example of this is the patent to Lacey '697. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have further modified Satran by screw-hole mounting to the

Application/Control Number: 10/002,180 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

pocket base instead of the pocket rear, as is well known, since this is an art-recognized equivalent known for the same purpose. See MPEP 2144.06.

- 3. Claims 1-7 and 26 are allowed.
- 4. Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments with regards to claim 1 were persuasive.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ken Peterson whose telephone number is 571-272-4512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday between 7:30am and 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached at 571-272-4514. In lieu of mailing, Applicants are encouraged to fax responses to 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,180 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. For more info on the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kp

22-Jun-05

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER